1893-94.
(L. R.)

File No. 67.
Serial No. 1-S.

Sub:— Appeal of Timmappa, Sheikdar of Sivene, Hobali, Tarikere Taluk, regarding his suspension.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1893-1894
~~191~~ .
(L.R.)

File No. 67

Serial Nos. 1—5

Later references.

L.R. 69-1895

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—5

Part B for May 1894

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Thimmappa, Sheikdar of Sirsi Hobli, Darikere Taluk, regarding his suspension. ~~for 3 years.~~

Countermarked
MM.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Rec'd 8/1/94

Submitted to the Chief Secretary

8/1.

From a perusal of this report it is clear that the appellant's previous record be taken into consideration he is not entitled to any leniency. The ~~irregular~~ Conduct for ~~which~~ which the present punishment was passed was however not ~~such~~ of that serious character which required so severe a punishment as 3 yrs Suspension. Moreover I think a prolonged suspension of this kind is most undesirable in the interests of the public Service. Three years idleness is not likely to improve the suspended individual. ~~If an official~~ It is far better to dismiss him altogether than to suspend for 3 years.

Under such circ[umstances] the D C will be requested to remit the unexpired portion of the period of suspension & to reinstate the Petr.

12/5/94

Two drafts submitted for approval 14/5

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893

OFFICE OF THE DIWAN OF MYSORE 10174 26 DEC. 93 Pet 367

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 14th December 1893.

Despatched 22nd

Received

Enclosures No. 10 4/8 Ver: file Sent separately

General No. 341 / *No.* 1893-94

Submitting proceedings with a report on the facts of the case and on the points taken in the petition of Timmappa, Shirkdar of Sivane Hobli, Tarikere Taluk, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Kadur, suspending the petitioner from office for 3 years.

Dewan's order dated 10 Jan

Pet March 26/12

General Serial No. 32.)

189 (2)

Proceedings to be called for

No. 113

Name of Petitioner. Sheikdar Timmaya

Village. Sirine' Hobli

Taluk. Tarikere

District. Kadur

Date of Arzi. 12 . 10 . 93

Date of Receipt. 12 . 10 . 93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nine

Section No

No

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the order of the Dy. Commr of Kadur suspending petr. from Office for 3 years.

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore-

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. N. 1113 R
DATE 1-11-93

(2)

Received 12 October

59

To,

J. R. A. Thumboo Chetty Esquire
Dewan to His Highness the Maha-
Rajah of Mysore —

The humble petition of Thimmappa, shanbhag
of Simine Hobli, Tarekere Taluq, Kadur
District —

most respectfully sheweth —

That the humble petitioner begs to sub-
-mit an appeal against the order
no 6 dated 6th September 193, passed
by the Dy Commissioner, Kadur Distric
against the petitioner, on the following
grounds: Viz —

(1). That the order of suspension for
3 years is illegal.

(2). That the Dy Commissioner has
not considered the faithful services
rendered by the petitioner (appellant).

(3). That the reports made by the subhead
are unsupported by any evidence
to prove the bad conduct of the
appellant —

(4). That on a perusal of the service
Registers of the appellant, and the several
orders passed against him, will convi
convince your Honor, that the order
suspens

(5). That the statement given by the appellant before the Dy Commissioner in the Haradekhan land case, will not give any presumption as to the appellants disobedience or misrepresentation of the facts deposed by the appellant + he is not in any liable to be blamed-

(6). That the appellant, does possess a service of 24 years, and that he never showed any disobedience to the Auditors under whom he has rendered his service -

(7). The several orders passed against the petitioner are herein enclosed for your honors kind Consideration

(8). That the order of suspension of 3 years is most illegal - That in the petitioner's case, most unjustifiable orders have been passed -

Therefore the petitioner humbly prays that your honor will be pleased to send for the proceedings of the case + pass an order for the re-institution of the appellants situation + to Cancel the order of degredation -

particulars of the documents filed -

I. Dated 4th September 93 - order of the Dy Commissioner under appeal -

II. Co- No 78 - Co-Co - Copy -

III. No 111 dated 22-7-93 - from the Auditor

of Tarekere —

III. No 199 dated 3-8-93 from the Amildar of Tarekere —

IV. No 5 - dated 15-7-93. C.C - —.

V. No 39 dated 7th July/93 from the Dy Commissioner —

VI No 825 - 30th January / 1-2-93. from the Dy Commissioner -

VII. No 275 - dated 9th Feb/93, Endorsement of the Dy Commissioner —

VIII. Notice dated 28th July/93 - from the Dy Commissioner —

Dated - 12th October/93. Mysore.

T OF MYSORE

No 8

Petition dated 28th August 1893 from Timmappa — Sheikdar of Shivané Hobli in the Tarikere Taluk under suspension

Endorsement

The Petitioner is informed that his case has been carefully gone into and orders given after full consideration. The statements now made by him in the present petition are either incorrect or irrelevant to the cases for which he was suspended. He has been punished for his bad conduct generally, including that in the Harihalli land case which is still under enquiry as also for his repeated disobedience to the orders of his Superiors. The orders already passed suspending him for 3 years cannot therefore be rescinded

H H Parker
Deputy Commr
Kadur

Chikmagalur
dated 8th September 93

Sam.

12-7-93

18-7-93

1

2

3

4

22-7-93

3-8-93

111

ತಾ 199

ಶ್ರೀ ನಿಶ್ಚಿತಾರ್ಥ ಶುಭಾಶಯಗಳು

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ 3 ಸಕಲ ಸಮೃದ್ಧಿಯಿಂದ ಸಂತೋಷದಿಂದ
ಶುಭಕಾರ್ಯವು ಪರಸ್ಪರ ಸಹಕಾರದಿಂದ ಸುಖಮಯವಾಗಿ
ಜರುಗಲೆಂದು ಹಾರೈಸುವೆವು —

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ ಶುಭಾಕಾಂಕ್ಷಿಗಳು

ಸ್ಥಳ ಹಾಸನ ದಿನಾಂಕ 3-8-93 ವಿಳಾಸ

ಶ್ರೀ ನಿಶ್ಚಿತಾರ್ಥ ಶುಭಾಶಯಗಳು

5

7.7.93

11/7/93

37.

ಸ್ಥಳ ತಾಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿ

ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು

ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಗೆ ಸಲಾಂ —

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕೆಲಸದ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೊರಟಿದ್ದು ಆ ಕೆಲಸವು ಬಹಳ ಅವಶ್ಯವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಕುದುರೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ — ಅಂತು ಕೊಡಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನು ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮುಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು ಕುದುರೆಯನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿಬಿಡುತ್ತೇನೆ — ಅಂತು ಕೊಡಿಸದಿದ್

No. 30 dated 18/3

7.2.93.

Commissioner

ಅನುಬಂಧ 2/5.

ಕ. ರಾಜ್ಯ ಆಯುಷ್ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು —

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿ —

ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳಿದ್ದು ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿ —

ಕಳಿದ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿ —

605 ಕ.-6.1.93 ಕ್ಕೆ ಅನ್ವಯವಾದ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿ —

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ — ಹೆಸರಿನ ಮೊದಲಕ್ಷರದ ಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಹೆಸರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸಗಳು

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕೋಷ್ಟಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಹೆಸರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೊರತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕೋಷ್ಟಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕೊರತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಕೊರತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ 20.1.93 ಆಗಿರುತ್ತ

Sworn before me this 6th day of March 1893

H H Sparks

Com for Commissioners

Utah

Dated 28th July 1893

H H Parkes
By Commissioner

Examined

Copy of Petition of Appeal

Ist
652

(3)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1113

dated 12th October 93 received at the

Dewan's Office from Timmappa Shekdar of Sivine Hobli, Tarikere Taluk appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr of Kadur suspending petitioner from office for 3 years,

is forwarded, together with its nine

enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner Kadur District for submission of proceedings with a report on the facts of the case and on the points taken in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosures should be returned

By Order,

Draft

3/11

for Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 3 Nov 1893.

6/11/93

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

Deputy Commissioners Office
Kadur District

No 341 — No 67-93 Camp Ajjampur 14th December/93

(4)

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your official memorandum no 652/Petition dated 3rd/6 Ultimo, calling for a report on the petition of one Timmappa, Sheikdar of Sivani Hobli, Tarikere Taluk, appealing against my order suspending him from his office for 3 years, I have the honor to report as follows:—

1 Facts of the Case 1. On 27th February 1893 the appellant Timmappa applied for 2 months' leave on forfeiture of full pay to enable

him to represent his grievances to me and to get back his ~~[illegible]~~ former grade of Rs 20/-, as he was unjustly reduced from that grade to the present grade of Rs 10/-.

2 The Amildar of Sankeree submitted the usual recommendation Roll for leave and reported that the Sheikdar rendered no assistance in collecting Govt Kandayem of his Hobli and failed to submit his progress report of collection work and his unanswered reference list

3. The Amildar who was asked to take the Sheikdar's explanation for the above, reported on 30th June 1893 as follows.—

(1) "As soon as the Deputy Comrs orders were received on 20th of June 1893 the Sheikdar was wired to appear before me. Not obeying that order, he posted on 23rd June 1893 in the Kadur Post Office a report dated 20th June 1893 stating that in anticipation of sanction of 3 days' leave he left his place for Chikmagalur to attend the Munsiff's Court there

Why paid for this telegram? If Talati Cant = This a waste of public money

there on the 21st idem. Though he was wired on 15th June 1893 to come with the Kandayem collected and left in the Hobli by the Bank Inspector according to my orders, (the Patels and Shanbhogs having failed to bring the Dasal in time), yet he failed to do so, nor did he send the amount to the Taluk Treasury."

(2) "On the same day viz: 20th June, on which the Sheikdar was wired to come up to Sirsikere to give his explanation, the report for leave was written by the Sheikdar who mentioned in it having submitted copy of the Civil Court Summons, but which was not sent. If he had actually received summons to appear on the 21st June 1893, he should have submitted his report applying for leave earlier. If the circumstances were very urgent, there was nothing to prevent him to come to Sirsikere from Sivani and then go on to Chikmagalur after personally obtaining leave from me. Instead of this he wrote an

Rule 1 copy
To get Summons
12 noon - 1 P.M. time
at 3 P.M. reaches
check Munsiff
next day
attended - Court
attended
3rd day - by

application dated 20th June 1893 in which it is stated that he left the place on the 21st i.e. after receipt of the Telegram sent to him on 20th June 1893. The said report is posted in the Hadur Post office on the 23rd and has reached me on the 24th of that month. These circumstances render the Sheikdar's conduct suspicious."

(3) "On the 25th June 1893, the Sheikdar came near my house and sent word to me. I ordered him to come up to the Taluk Cutchery where I directly went and sent for him (Sheikdar). He failed to come there. As I had to go to Ajjampur on the very day, I thought that he would come there and kept the record relating to him with me, and went on to Ajjampur where I awaited for him for 3 days viz: on 25th, 26th and 27th June 1893. He did not turn up before me. To go to Sivani from Tarikere, one should pass through Ajjampur. From the Sheikdar's subsequent report

report & made over that [illegible]

Sivané without coming to Ajjampur,

although he had known that I had

encamped at the latter place."

(4.) "Though the Sheikdar's dilatoriness

in his work, his unnecessary

correspondence and inconsistent

replies to orders given to him are

too numerous to explain, yet I sub-

mit below a few instances for

information. viz. ——

1. On 10th February 1893, the

Sheikdar was given a Yadad

to submit a list of indivíduals who paid Kandayam for their lands below Javur Tank and to get the remission amount entered in the respective pattas of ryots.

Reminders were also sent him on 6th and 20th June 1893. No replies having been received from him, a report was made to the District Office from which stringent orders were received. This particular work not having been done by this Sheikdar, I got it done by

This is Most important Part of Thandaver & Sundaresan - Personally !

the Ajjampur Hobli Sheikdar

2 The Sheikdar's reports 23 in number, all dated 30th May 1893, were received in my office with 21 days' delay on 19th June 1893. He has been reprimanded for this. His reports reach my office generally with a delay of 2 to 4 months.

3. He has not yet submitted the unanswered reference lists, nor weekly collection reports, though several reminders were sent to him. His report to a reminder dated 17th May 1893 reached me on 23rd June 1893 though it is dated 30th May 1893. If he required any instructions &c he should have submitted a report or come in person and have got matters explained to him."

Collections, Jamabandi & Sist paid - Sheikdar Harihalli Circle on Inspection

(5.) "There having been great amount of arrears of Kandayam at the end of April 1893, I went to his Hobli in the month of May 1893, when I was informed that he had been absent

at

at Chikmagalur without leave. I myself collected some arrears and got some collected by the Bank Inspector. The Sheikdar did not render any least help towards collection. From the above I infer that the Sheikdar does not like to give his explanation to me as required by the Deputy Commr. It appears to me to be convenient if he is called upon to submit his explanation to the Deputy Commissioner in person. As it is impossible to take work from this Sheikdar, I beg that he may be kindly transferred to another place."

4. The Sheikdar who appeared before me (Deputy Commr) at Chikmagalur according to the orders sent to him, gave the following statement on 18th July 1893

(1) In reply to the Telegram received on the 20th June 1893, I appeared before the Amildar on the 24th June 1893 at Lakkere with the Patels and Shanbhogs.

(2) I had no time to obtain leave from the Amildar before attending the Civil Court. I received the notice on the

15th may and had to appear on the 18th.
(Note. The notice was issued by the court on the 29th March 1893). The Sheikdar cannot explain this long delay but states that the notice was served on him by the Sivani Rasaba Patel, and he did not tell him when he received this notice.

Up Cross-
Re Court

(3) The Sheikdar further states that he received another summons to attend Chikmagalur Civil Court and requested the Amildar for leave on the 20th June 1893.

Court
Ord have
Sent summons
thro' Sheikdar

(4) The Sheikdar states that he collected the Kandayem himself and the Sank Inspector was sent to help him as his peons were taken away by the Amildar for reasons unknown, that he received no orders to appear before the Amildar at Ajjampur on 25th, 26th and 27th June 1893.

(5) He further states that he did not go from Tarikere to Sivani via Ajjampur but straight from Tarikere to Bukkambudi, leaving Tarikere

about

about 9 a.m. and [illegible]

budi at 10 or 10-30 a.m., thereby taking

about only an hour or 1½ hours,

as there was a sale there that day.

5. I then passed the following orders. —

"This is a deliberate lie, as the shortest possible distance in a straight line is about 14 miles and more probably about 20 by road. Taking into consideration Timmappa's past conduct and his frequent punishments in almost every Taluk that he has been in and the bad reports from the different Amildars, I direct that he be now suspended for a space of three years."

6. When the above orders were passed, there was another case pending in which his conduct was very suspicious, and about which his explanation was called for. The case is that when Timmappa was Shirkdar of the Halasa Hobli, Mudgere Taluk, he got orders to hand over a portion of the jungle called Heradikeshan

Estate in Survey no 179 of the Mavinkere village, (sale of which was sanctioned in pursuance of Govt Proceedings no —154— dated 30th April 1890) to its purchaser Mr Brito of Mangalore, as per copy of a rough sketch of the land to be made over. Instead of adhering to the marks made by the then Amildar in the sketch, he exceeded his bounds and handed over nearly more than double the extent of land sold to him. Though Timmappa pleads he is not wrong, yet from the statements of the principal parties who were present when he got the land marked out and made over to Mr Brito, it may be presumed that the land handed over was more than the land originally marked out by the then Amildar. I concluded this after inspecting the land. Vide paper no $\frac{2}{2}$ in the correspondence marked G. with the vernacular file.

Survey Amildar Mavinkere Absconded for

2. Points taken in the petition. The points taken are chiefly that the order of

suspension was unwarranted.

I submit hereunder a few antecedents of the man.

1 On 13th of April 1889, he was fined by Col: Campbell to the extent of one months pay for repeated disobedience of orders. (Vide paper no 1½/3 in the correspondence marked A. put up with the accompanying file of Vernacular records).

(note.— He exchanged with a Shikdar on Rs 20/ in 1889).

2. On 18th of April 1889, he was reduced by Mr Micklejohn from Rs 20/- to Rs 15/- on account of delay, irregularities &c. (Vide paper no ½ in the correspondence marked B. put up with the records.)

3. On 10th of August 1889, he was warned by Mr Micklejohn for deliberately speaking falsehoods against his officers regarding certain papers mislaid by him. (Vide paper no 2/1 in correspondence marked C. put up with the accompanying records.)

4. In 1892 he was convicted on a charge of Criminal Breach of Trust, but was acquitted in appeal. He was however thereupon dealt departmentally under Section 35 of L. R. Code, by a reduction from Rs 20/-

to No 15. (Vide correspondence marked N. put up with the accompanying vernacular records).

5. In 1893 he was reduced from No 15/to No 10 for disobedience and for telling falsehoods (Vide paper No 6/4 in the correspondence marked E. accompanying the records).

6. Finally, in July 1893, he was suspended for 3 years for wilful neglect of duty disobedience to orders, unauthorized absence from his Hobli and for telling falsehoods, and it is against this order that the appeal is made now (Vide paper No 14/2 in the correspondence marked F. put up with the accompanying vernacular records.)

7. The appellants Service Register is also enclosed, from which it will be observed that 2 of the 4 officers, who have recorded their opinions of the man's conduct, have reported very badly of him.

The entire records connected with the case together with the appeal petition and its enclosures accompany.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedt Servant

H H Parker.

Deputy Commissioner

No. 1113

Section [illegible]
No. 27-93
Serial No. 24

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
12th May 1894

Endorsement granted to Thimmappa, Shanbhag of Savané Hobli, Tarikere Taluk, Kadur Distt

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1113 dated 1st Nov. 93.
appealing against the order of the Dy Commr., Kadur Distt, suspending him from his office for 3 years.

Petitioner is informed that the Deputy Commissioner has been requested to remit the unexpired portion of the period of suspension and to reinstate him

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

14/5/94

2 Briefe

Section L2
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
No. 63-94

Read:-

~~Correspondence~~ ~~received~~ ~~with~~

Petition No. 1113 - dy 1st Nov. 93 from Shimmappa, Shaikdar of Sirsi Hobli, Sorikeri Taluk appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur Dist. suspending him from his office for 3 years.

(2) ~~Report~~ Letter No. 341 dated 14th Dec. 93 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, submitting proceedings, with a report on the above case.

Order - No. 19686-7 / Pet 1860 dy 14.5.94 / 17.

From a perusal of the report read above, it is clear that if the appellant's previous record be taken into consideration he is not entitled to leniency. The irregular conduct

Fair Copy to
For "3" write "three".

Issue in usual form
Then Print
14/5

conduct for which the present punishment was passed, was however not of that serious character which required so severe a punishment as 3 years' suspension. Moreover, Govt are of opinion that a prolonged suspension of this kind is most undesirable in the interests of the public service. Three years absence is not likely to improve the suspended individual. It is far better to dismiss him altogether than to suspend for 3 years.

2. Under such

Circumstances, the Deputy Commissioner is requested to remit the unexpired portion of the period of suspension & to re-instate the Petitioner.

Chief Secy 14/5

14/5/94.

To.

The Dy Commissioner
Kadur Distt.

returning the Vernacular records.

Extract of para 2 to Comptroller to Mysore Govt.

Press copy sent to Dy diarist

17/5



Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Petition),— dated 12th May 1894.

READ :—

Petition No. 1113, dated 1st November 1893, from Timmappa, Shekdar of Sivané Hobli, Tarikere Taluk, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, suspending him from his office for three years.

2. Letter No. 341, dated 14th December 1893, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, submitting proceedings with a report on the above case.

No. 19686-7—PET. 1860, DATED, BANGALORE, 12TH MAY 1894.

ORDER THEREON.—From a perusal of the report read above, it is clear that if the Appellant's previous record be taken into consideration, he is not entitled to leniency. The irregular conduct for which the present punishment was passed, was however not of that serious character which required so severe a punishment as three years' suspension. Moreover, Government are of opinion that a prolonged suspension of this kind is most undesirable in the interests of the public service. Three years' idleness is not likely to improve the suspended individual. It is far better to dismiss him altogether than to suspend for three years.

2. Under such circumstances, the Deputy Commissioner is requested to remit the unexpired portion of the period of suspension and to re-instate the Petitioner.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, returning the Vernacular records.
Extract of para 2 to Comptroller to Mysore Government.

Exd.—A.N.S.

1894.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

PETITION.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 12*th May* 1894.

No. 19686-7, Pet. 1860.

Communicating the observations of Government on the Kadur Deputy Commissioner's order suspending Timmappa, Shekdar, Sivané Hobli, Tarikere Taluk, for three years, and directing the remission of the unexpired term and his re-instatement.

M. G. C. P., Bangalore.—23-5-94.—120.